

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,704	05/27/2005	Nobuyoshi Takeuchi	92478-3200	9263
S304 J. S.			EXAMINER	
			WALFORD, NATALIE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,	2879		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/536,704
 TAKEUCHI ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 NATALIE K. WALFORD
 2879

NATALIE K. WALFORD All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) NATALIE K. WALFORD. (3) Joseph Price. (2) Nimesh Patel. (4)____. Date of Interview: 12 January 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1 and 12. Identification of prior art discussed: Oda et al.. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative discussed how the Prior Art differs from the instant application. The Applicant's representative contends that the Oda reference refers to the pre-sintered polycrystalline alumina ceramic and not the sintered ceramic. No agreement was made. However, if the same rejection is kept after considering the arguments, the Examiner will call the Applicant's representative. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879